

UDC - 316.334.56:504:001.891"2010/2024"

***Bakhodir Muslimbekov Mirza ogli** – Assistant professor, Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, "TIAME" National Research University*

***Abdurakhmonov Sarvar Narzullayevich** – Associate professor, Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, "TIAME" National Research University*

***Khamidov Boiskhuja Bakhtiyor ogli** – Bachelor student, Department of Geodesy and Geoinformatics, "TIAME" National Research University*

DETERMINING THE IMPACT OF URBANIZATION ON THE ENVIRONMENT: A REVIEW OF PAPERS PUBLISHED BETWEEN 2010 AND 2024 IN THE SCOPUS DATABASE

Abstract. This study explores the growing relevance of research on urban environmental conditions by utilizing data from internationally recognized scientific databases. As urbanization continues to reshape landscapes worldwide, understanding its ecological implications has become a critical area of investigation. To assess the current state of knowledge, a comprehensive bibliometric analysis was conducted, examining a total of 635 thematic articles that include key terms such as "Urban," "Environment," "GIS," and "Remote Sensing." These articles were sourced from high-impact journals and academic repositories, ensuring a robust dataset for analysis. A critical insight from the analysis highlights the importance of international research cooperation in addressing urban environmental challenges. The study also emphasizes the role of citation metrics, such as the h-index, in measuring the influence and reach of scholarly contributions. These metrics provide valuable insights into the interconnected nature of contemporary research, demonstrating how scientific advancements in one region can inform and shape urban sustainability strategies on a global scale. By fostering collaborative efforts and leveraging innovative methodologies,

researchers can contribute to more comprehensive and effective solutions for mitigating the ecological impact of urbanization.

Keywords: Bibliometric analysis; Environmental impacts; GIS; Land surface temperature (LST); Land use/land cover (LULC); NDVI; Remote sensing; Scopus; Sustainability; Urban heat island (UHI); Urbanization.

INTRODUCTION

Urbanization poses significant challenges to ecological systems, necessitating comprehensive research to understand its environmental impacts. This study examines the trends, geographical distribution, and integration of research efforts in the field, drawing on a systematic analysis of keywords and citations. By leveraging data from Scopus and other databases, this paper highlights the dominant contributors to this field, such as China, India, and the United States, and underscores the thematic and analytical diversity within the body of research[1].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A systematic literature review was conducted using Scopus and other internationally recognized scientific databases. Keywords such as 'Urban,' 'Environment,' 'GIS,' 'Remote Sensing,' and others were used to identify 635 relevant articles. The keyword analysis was visualized using tools like WoSviewer, which helped map the interconnections among terms. Citation patterns and h-index metrics were analyzed to assess researcher contributions and integration. Additionally, the geographic distribution of article authors was examined to understand regional contributions to the field[1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on data from internationally recognized scientific article and publication databases, several statistical analyses were conducted. According to these analyses, a total of 635 thematic articles were identified containing the keywords 'Urban,' 'Environment,' 'GIS' (Geographic Information Systems), and 'Remote Sensing.' A thorough examination of these articles has yielded several practical insights[1], [3]. The statistical analysis of the collected articles over different years indicates a growing relevance of research focused on assessing urban environmental conditions. This trend highlights the increasing importance of studying the ecological impacts of urbanization, reflecting a rising academic and practical interest in the subject.




FIGURE1. Dynamics of the number of articles on the research topic in the Scopus database

The core essence of research lies in its keywords, which serve as fundamental indicators for understanding the subject matter of an article. Keywords play a crucial role in defining the thematic focus of a study and are essential for

FIGURE 2. Keywords. Inter-integration scheme of key terms (visualized in WoS Viewer)

Special attention should be given to the keyword 'China,' as its frequent usage indicates that a significant portion of the research in this domain is conducted by scholars from the People's Republic of China. This observation underscores China's prominent role in advancing studies related to urbanization and environmental monitoring. Furthermore, the primary types of analyses can be identified through keyword mapping. For instance, commonly used terms such as 'Land Surface Temperature,' 'Heat Island,' 'Spatial Analysis,' 'NDVI' (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index), and 'Spatiotemporal Analysis' highlight the diverse analytical approaches employed in the examined studies[1], [22].

In addition to the insights provided by keyword analysis, the geographic origin of the article authors holds significant importance in the literature review process. A comprehensive examination of relevant scientific works not only focuses on thematic alignment but also takes into account the authors and their respective countries of affiliation. This approach provides a deeper understanding of the global research landscape and regional contributions to the field.




FIGURE 3. Distribution of article authors by country

China, India, and the United States have emerged as the leading contributors to research in this field. Notably, Chinese researchers account for approximately one-third of all scholars conducting studies on this topic, underscoring China's dominant role in the academic discourse surrounding urbanization and environmental analysis[2], [3], [8], [9], [10], [19], [23], [24]. Furthermore, it has been observed that many researchers have authored more than ten publications within the scope of this subject, reflecting a sustained and in-depth engagement with the topic.




FIGURE 4. Statistics of scientists who have conducted the most research on urbanization and ecology

The volume of scientific research conducted by Chinese research teams has been steadily increasing over time, highlighting their growing influence in the field. In addition to Chinese contributions, significant research efforts have also been carried out by the Indian scholar B. Pradhan, whose work has made a notable impact in this domain. It is important to emphasize that the collaboration and integration among researchers from different countries are well-established and continue to evolve, fostering a dynamic and interconnected global research network.




FIGURE 5. Integration of scientific researchers on the topic




FIGURE 6. Overall density and integration of researcher developments

the United States. Research collaborations were evident in citation patterns, with studies boasting a high h-index forming influential clusters. However, some research lacking international citations appeared isolated, resembling peripheral nodes in the network diagram.

One of the most striking observations is the substantial contribution of Chinese researchers to this body of literature. The frequent occurrence of "China" as a keyword, as well as the concentration of high-impact studies from Chinese institutions, underscores the country's leadership in urbanization and environmental studies. This trend aligns with China's rapid urban expansion and its associated environmental challenges, which have necessitated extensive research efforts. In addition to China, scholars from India and the United States also contribute significantly, reflecting a global interest in understanding and mitigating the ecological consequences of urbanization.

Another crucial insight from this analysis is the role of research collaboration and its impact on citation metrics. The study demonstrates that highly cited works, particularly those with a high h-index, tend to be well-integrated within the global research network. These studies often benefit from cross-border collaborations, allowing for the exchange of knowledge, methodologies, and data. Conversely, research efforts that lack international citations appear as isolated nodes, indicating limited integration with mainstream scientific discourse. This finding underscores the importance of fostering interdisciplinary and international partnerships to enhance the visibility and impact of research in this field.

CONCLUSIONS

The results indicate a steady increase in the number of publications addressing urbanization's environmental effects, highlighting the growing academic and practical interest in this subject. A key observation is the dominant role of

Chinese researchers, who account for a significant portion of the studies in this field. This trend reflects China's rapid urban expansion and its associated environmental challenges, leading to increased scientific efforts to monitor and mitigate the ecological consequences. Alongside China, researchers from India and the United States have also made substantial contributions, underscoring the global relevance of urban environmental research.

Furthermore, this study highlights the importance of international research collaboration in addressing urban environmental challenges. Citation metrics, particularly the h-index, were examined to assess the influence of key publications and their integration into the broader scientific discourse. The findings indicate that highly cited works tend to emerge from well-connected global research networks, reinforcing the need for interdisciplinary and cross-border partnerships in urban sustainability research. In contrast, studies with limited citations or lacking international collaboration often remain isolated, reducing their impact within the academic community.

These insights emphasize the necessity of fostering greater collaboration between researchers from different regions and disciplines. By leveraging innovative methodologies and expanding the geographical scope of studies, future research can develop more comprehensive and effective solutions for mitigating the ecological effects of urbanization. This study contributes to the ongoing discourse by providing a structured overview of key trends in urban environmental research and offering recommendations for advancing scientific efforts in this critical field.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Gupta and A. Kumar, "Urban Heat Island research using remote sensing: A bibliometric review with special reference to India," *Urban Clim.*, vol. 61, p. 102403, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.uclim.2025.102403.

[2] W. Xu *et al.*, “A Review of Applying Drones and Remote Sensing Technology in Mangrove Ecology,” *Forests*, vol. 16, no. 6, Art. no. 6, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.3390/f16060870.

[3] T. Zhang *et al.*, “Adaptability analysis and model development of various LS-factor formulas in RUSLE model: A case study of Fengyu River Watershed, China,” *Geoderma*, vol. 439, p. 116664, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2023.116664.

[4] M. L. van Toor, A. Davranche, G. Delaunay, C. Murgue, J. Waldenström, and C. Arzel, “An evaluation of global LULC maps for the estimation of habitat use of a declining migratory waterbird along its flyway,” *Biol. Conserv.*, vol. 307, p. 111152, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2025.111152.

[5] B. He *et al.*, “Analysis of atmospheric pollution transport using aerosol optical depth remote sensing data and the optical flow method,” *Atmospheric Pollut. Res.*, vol. 16, no. 3, p. 102415, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.apr.2025.102415.

[6] N. Yoshihara, “ArcGIS-based protocol to calculate the area fraction of landslide for multiple catchments,” *MethodsX*, vol. 10, p. 102064, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.mex.2023.102064.

[7] S. Halder and S. Bose, “Comparative study on remote sensing-based indices for urban ecology assessment: A case study of 12 urban centers in the metropolitan area of eastern India,” *J. Earth Syst. Sci.*, vol. 133, no. 2, p. 100, May 2024, doi: 10.1007/s12040-024-02321-3.

[8] L. Zhang, Q. Liu, J. Wang, T. Wu, and M. Li, “Constructing ecological security patterns using remote sensing ecological index and circuit theory: A case study of the Changchun-Jilin-Tumen region,” *J. Environ. Manage.*, vol. 373, p. 123693, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.123693.

[9] X. Yang *et al.*, “Development of PM_{2.5} and NO₂ models in a LUR framework incorporating satellite remote sensing and air quality model data in Pearl River Delta region, China,” *Environ. Pollut.*, vol. 226, pp. 143–153, Jul. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.03.079.

[10] C.-C. Chen, Y.-R. Wang, F.-C. Wang, Y.-S. Shiu, C.-F. Wu, and T.-H. Lin, “Estimating monthly NO₂, O₃, and SO₂ concentrations via an ensemble three-stage procedure with downscaled satellite remote sensing data and ground measurements,” *J. Hazard. Mater.*, vol. 480, p. 136392, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.136392.

[11] I. N. Hidayati, R. Suharyadi, and P. Danoedoro, “Environmental Quality Assessment of Urban Ecology based on Spatial Heterogeneity and Remote Sensing Imagery,” *KnE Soc. Sci.*, pp. 363–379, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.18502/kss.v3i21.4981.

[12] J. Xu, H. Lindqvist, Q. Liu, K. Wang, and L. Wang, “Estimating the spatial and temporal variability of the ground-level NO₂ concentration in China during 2005–2019 based on satellite remote sensing,” *Atmospheric Pollut. Res.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 57–67, Feb. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.apr.2020.10.008.

[13] J. Chang *et al.*, “Estimation of carbon sequestration capacity of urban green infrastructure by fusing multi-source remote sensing data,” *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinformation*, vol. 141, p. 104643, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.jag.2025.104643.

[14] N. Chen, G. Cheng, J. Yang, H. Ding, and S. He, “Evaluation of Urban Ecological Environment Quality Based on Improved RSEI and Driving Factors Analysis,” *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 11, Art. no. 11, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15118464.

[15] N. Setiawan, M. Yudinugroho, W. Ni’immallaili Hadining, A. J. Dentalisya, R. Jaladara, and F. F. K. Imroah, “Investigating ground deformation

and SO₂ emissions of the November 2020 Ili Lewotolo Eruption (Indonesia) using NSBAS InSAR and Sentinel-5P,” *Geomatica*, vol. 77, no. 1, p. 100056, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.geomat.2025.100056.

[16] S. Sarkar, H. Manna, S. K. Roy, M. Dolui, and M. Hossain, “Synergizing remote sensing and ecological indicators (RSEIs) for evaluating ecological environmental quality (EEQ) in Asansol Municipal Corporation: an integrated approach,” *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, vol. 196, no. 7, p. 631, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10661-024-12793-x.

[17] S. Abdurakhmonov *et al.*, “Conventional and current approaches of urban mapping and geodetic base formulation for establishing demographic processes database: Tashkent, Uzbekistan,” *E3S Web Conf.*, vol. 497, p. 02028, 2024, doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202449702028.

[18] B. Muslimbekov, N. Teshaev, S. Abdurakhmonov, and O. Gaybulloev, “Monitoring Trends of SO₂ level Using Time-Series Sentinel-5 Images Based on Google Earth Engine,” *E3S Web Conf.*, vol. 563, p. 03068, 2024, doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202456303068.

[19] L. Chen, S. Shen, C. Song, and M. Zhao, “Estimating the urban ecological quality on the Qinghai–Tibet Plateau using a spatiotemporal remote sensing ecological index,” *Ecol. Front.*, May 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.ecofro.2025.05.006.

[20] M. Conedera, M. Tonini, L. Oleggini, C. Vega Orozco, M. Leuenberger, and G. B. Pezzatti, “Geospatial approach for defining the Wildland-Urban Interface in the Alpine environment,” *Comput. Environ. Urban Syst.*, vol. 52, pp. 10–20, Jul. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2015.02.003.

[21] H. Tian, C. Xie, M. Zhong, Y. Ye, R. Zhou, and D. Zhao, “Urban tree carbon storage estimation using unmanned aerial vehicles remote sensing,” *Urban*

For. Urban Green., vol. 107, p. 128755, May 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.ufug.2025.128755.

[22] Y. Liu, P. Panakkal, S. Dee, G. Balakrishnan, J. Padgett, and A. Veeraraghavan, "ISLAND: Interpolating Land Surface Temperature using land cover," *Remote Sens. Appl. Soc. Environ.*, vol. 36, p. 101332, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.rsase.2024.101332.

[23] Y. Li and T. Nigh, "GIS-based prioritization of private land parcels for biodiversity conservation: A case study from the Current and Eleven Point Conservation Opportunity Areas, Missouri," *Appl. Geogr.*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 98–107, Jan. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.apgeog.2010.02.006.

[24] S. Abdurakhmonov, S. Ochilov, S. Tukhtamishev, O. Urokov, U. Berdikulov, and G. Azzamov, "Cartographic modeling of demographic processes using remote sensing data," *E3S Web Conf.*, vol. 497, p. 02030, 2024, doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202449702030.

[25] N. Teshaev, B. Makhsudov, I. Ikramov, and N. Mirjalalov, "Advances and Prospects in Machine Learning for GIS and Remote Sensing: A Comprehensive Review of Applications and Research Frontiers," *E3S Web Conf.*, vol. 590, p. 03010, 2024, doi: 10.1051/e3sconf/202459003010.