

UDC: 378.30

COMPLICATION OF PREDICATE AND TYPES OF COMPLICATIONS

Nasretdinova Khurshida Tulkinovna

*Teacher of department of foreign languages, faculty of agro engineering and
hydro melioration,
Andijan Institute of Agriculture and Agro technologies,
Andijan, Uzbekistan*

Abstract: A sentence as a unit of the language, with the help of which speech communication is carried out, must reflect, on the one hand, all diversity of possible, constantly changing beyond the language situations and, on the other hand, regulate the imagination of them through generalizing character structural schemes and semantic configurations. Only satisfying these requirements the language can effectively function as a means of communication and a means of thinking activity of man. It is natural that a member of the sentence as a constituent part of the sentence cannot be indifferent to these requirements, but on the contrary, must provide their implementation.

Key words: predicative function, subject, sentence, generalizing, part of sentence, speech, semantic.

Categorical essence of the predicate is determined by its relation with the subject. The predicate expresses predicative feature, bearer of which is the object, expressed by the subject. The expression of such feature is categorical function of the predicate.

Besides the categorical, i.e. predicative function, the predicate fulfills relative connecting function, coming as mediated link between the subject and the elements of the right verbal encirclement -- the object and the modifier. Thus, in the relations between the sentence in active voice and the sentence in passive voice the verb- predicate forms original axis, around which the subject and the object rotate, exchanging their places in the sentences of active and passive.

Part of the sentence is a two-sided language mark, which possesses the meaning and the form.

Its meaning is syntactic function, that is, that substantial relation, in which given syntactic element is in another structure of some syntactic consecution of elements. The form of the part - is not only syntactically meaningful morphological form of the word, but also characteristics, connected with the belonging of the word to the definite part of speech or to the category of words inside of the part of speech, presence or absence of secondary auxiliary words, the location in the relation to another element, intonation indications of syntactic relation- shortly everything that allows to identify the word or group of words as a bearer of definite syntactic- functional significance. Thus, syntactic form, unlike morphological one, is multi- componential.

Relative function of the predicate as the name of the relation between the subject and the modifier is less evident, but is carried out in this case too. Because of this fact, that predicate fulfills this function, we have such sentences with the modifiers, expressed by qualitative adverbs, which transform relative existence of the feature of the action as in the sentence: The washing flapped w h i t e l y on the lines over patches of garden. (D. Lessing)- Formally whitely -- the feature of the action, in real the substance. Such sentences are easily transformed in the constructions with the appropriate adjective as nominal part of the predicate (The washing was white) or the attribute (The white washing flapped).

The predicate expresses two types of structural meanings: categorical meaning, i.e. the meaning intrinsic to the predicate as a definite part of the sentence (the feature of predicative feature), and the meaning, connected with the grammatical categories of personal form of the verb (the meaning of mode and tense, voice, person and number).

Though in grammatical descriptions verbal and nominal predicates are presented as isolated, not connected with each other, in general they are connected with each other. Their correlation becomes evident when contrasting the structures, in which these two types of predicates have common lexical- semantic base: verb

(in verbal predicate) and nominal part (nominative predicate) are connected by word-formative relations.

In two contrasting predicates - there is common conceptual content of predicted feature, one and the same structural meanings, but the latter are divided differently in each of two types of the predicates.

Thus, two main types of the predicate are the verbal and nominal. They are elementary in the sense that they cannot be transformed, both substantially and formally into simpler structures.

The third type - phraseological type joins to these two types. Phraseological predicate expresses phrasemes, containing a noun with the meaning of action and transitive verb.

If we take the point of view that any combination of structures “infinite form of the verb, oriented to the subject as its subject of the action + preceding infinite form of the element fulfilling the function of mediation of the tie between the subject and such form ”, forms single part of the sentence (and the consistency in the principles of description of language phenomena requires this), then complex predicate can include a number of constructions, which are not often acknowledged as such.

Depending on morphological nature of complicating element one can distinguish three types of complication:

1. Active- verbal complication
2. Passive-verbal complication
3. Adjective complication

In the first two types the complicating element is verb, accordingly in the form of active and passive voice, and in the third type it is adjective (also participle, the word of category of state) with the copula verb. Semantic parallelism finds out structural difference of complication of three types comp.:

He may come.-- He is expected to come. --He is likely to come.

Traditional terminology of the name of copula is assigned to the verb to be and the verbs of the type to seem, to look and so on, which come forth as mediation link between the subject and the nominal part of the predicate, which expresses the feature. But the similar function is carried out by modal verbs as a part of complex predicate, setting connection between the subject and the feature (here it possesses the nature of action) expressed by infinite form.

Taking into account the differences in semantics of complicating element, one can distinguish several types of active- verbal complication (we shall call them according to the content of complicating element):

1. Modal characteristics of connection of action with the subject. The predicates of this type include modal verbs (can, may, must and so on) or verb with modal meaning (for example, to be, to have) as complicating element plus infinitive:

'He can swim like a fish.' - U huddi baliqqa o'hshab suzadi

'He must come back.'¹ - U qaytib kelishi kerak

'It has to be right.' - Bu narsa to'g'ri bo'lishi kerak.

2. Aspectual characteristics of action. Complicating element designates the stage of the development of action (the beginning, the continuation, the end), its regularity: to begin, to proceed, to quit, to keep on and so on:

She started to walk along the shingle. - U dengiz yoqalab yurishni boshladi.

' His heart stopped beating.' - Uning yuragi urishdan to'htadi.

3. Probability of action. The number of verbs with the meaning of probability, outward appearance of action is very limited (to seem, to appear). For example:

'He seemed to have lost all power of will. U o'zidagi kuchni butunlay yo'qotganga o'xshardi.

'They didn't appear to be rowing.' - Ular harakat qilmaydiganga uhardilar.

Literature

1. Almatova N.A. Development Of Design Skills And Abilities At Foreign Language Lessons. Economy and society. № 11(78) -s.: 2020.

2. Egamberdiyeva D.U. Language learning with ict: uses and issues. International conference., 2016, p.341.
3. N.A. Odilova., M.U. Irgashev. Information and communication technology in language learning. International conference., 2016, p.439.
4. Mukhitdinova F.R. Creative qualities of the students in the system of higher education. //Economy And Society. № 11(78) -S.: 2020.
5. Nuriddinova Yo. The assistant Learner motivation and interest in language learning. International conference., 2016, p.436.
6. Spiro, Jane. Creative Story-building. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 2007.
7. Tan, Bee Tin (ed).Creative writing in EFL/ESL Classrooms I. Serdang: UPM Press. 2004.